

# Trump Must Challenge Harris Eligibility



By Lex Greene

August 6, 2024

The insanity rapidly destroying the USA has gone on long enough and if it's not properly addressed in the 2024 election cycle, this country will never be of, by, or for, legal U.S. Citizens ever again.

The nonsensical "[legal standing](#)" rule in our unconstitutional [British Common Law](#) courts, used to deny legal citizens access to the courts on a procedural rule, allows for any Presidential candidate to challenge another candidate on the grounds of constitutional eligibility.

There are only three constitutional requirements for any Oval Office candidate in Article II of the U.S. Constitution.

1. *"No person except a [natural born Citizen](#), or a citizen of the United States, at the time of the adoption of this Constitution;"*
2. *"neither shall any person be eligible to that office who shall not have attained to the age of thirty-five years;"*
3. *"and been fourteen Years a resident within the United States."*

That's it, three very simple basic requirements for anyone seeking to serve as President and Commander-in-Chief of the United States. The [12<sup>th</sup> Amendment](#) rightly applies the same

conditions to the office of Vice President, as the Vice President is first in line to ascend to the Presidency, as in the case of the JFK assassination.

U.S. laws make each political party responsible for vetting their candidates and certifying them as eligible for the office being sought, before putting them forward. Neither party has complied in recent years.

These conditions are unique to the offices of President and Vice president only. No other political office in the USA requires one to be a "[natural born Citizen](#)" of the USA, drawing an intentional distinction between "citizen" and "[natural born Citizen](#)."

## **Kamala Harris**

Born in 1964, Kamala Harris meets the 2<sup>nd</sup> condition of at least 35-years of age, and to the best of my knowledge, she has been a legal resident within the USA for at least 14-years, meeting the 3<sup>rd</sup> requirement as well.

But what about condition #1, often referred to as the "[natural born Citizen](#)" clause?

Well, that depends upon what you think a [natural born Citizen](#) is, and who you choose to believe on the matter today. Due entirely to political agendas, the term has been intentionally convoluted in recent years, with the concerted effort to open up Oval Office occupation to non-natural born Citizens, agents of foreign interests and globalist agendas. As a result, most Americans are not sure what the term really means today, including Trump.

If you want to get something wrong in our country today, just ask an "expert" for their opinion. Factcheckers aren't real factcheckers, the news media isn't real news, social media is a bastion of propaganda, and academia has been undermining

constitutional truth for over 200-years now.

No, you'll have to do your own homework on this one...like I have. I'm not asking you to trust my opinion, I'm asking you to consider my findings, research it yourself, and draw your own conclusions.

**Point #1** – Clearly, because the [natural born Citizen](#) requirement only exists in one place, and only pertains to one public office, there must be something special about a natural born Citizen, as opposed to just a citizen. So, what is special about this type of Citizen? What is the distinction?

Fundamentally, there are only two types of legal citizenship in the USA under U.S. Laws;

1. A citizen by nature, true “birthright citizenship”
2. A citizen by legislative process, “naturalized citizenship” via legislative action, which includes “native born citizens” via the legal concept, [jus soli](#)

While there are a number of methods within our Immigration and Naturalization laws for a non-natural born Citizen (type 2 above) to acquire legal citizenship in the USA, all of them are part of U.S. naturalization laws, including the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

In the case of the [14<sup>th</sup> Amendment](#) naturalization language, “*All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to its jurisdiction, are “citizens” of the United States and of the state wherein they reside*” – the amendment only refers to “citizen” not “natural born Citizen,” and that’s because it’s a form of “naturalized” citizenship, via legislative process, based upon the legal theory known as [jus soli](#),

*“a rule that the citizenship of a child is determined by the place of its birth.”* Legally speaking, it’s the opposite of *natural born Citizenship*.

Again, fundamentally, one who has acquired legal citizenship via any legislative process, such as adopted constitutional amendments and/or naturalization codes, is a “naturalized” citizen by virtue of legislation.

As a result, clearly, this is not what the Founders meant when they established the *natural born Citizen* requirement in Article II of the U.S. Constitution 237-years ago. The USA was settled, founded and built by “legal” immigrants. It was then, as it is now, a nation populated by people from all over the globe, including the Founders themselves, who had to be exempted from the natural born Citizen clause by the language, “*or a citizen of the United States, at the time of the adoption of this Constitution;*” as they were made citizens via the adoption of the Constitution itself.

In researching Kamala Harris’s life, I can confirm as “fact” the following key points;

- Kamala Harris was born in Oakland California on October 20, 1964
- Her father Donald Harris was and remains a legal citizen of Jamaica
- Her mother Shyamala Gopalan was, and remained until her death, a legal citizen of India
- Both parents were visiting college professors, her father an economist, her mother a biologist
- Both parents were members of the [Communist Party USA](#)
- Both parents were active with [Donald Warden \(aka Khalid al-Mansour\)](#)
- The Communist Party activities evolved into [DSAUSA \(Democratic Socialist of America\)](#)
- Kamala Harris is not “black” she was born Jamaican/Indian
- Her parents married in 1963, and divorced in 1971
- After the divorce when Kamala was just 7, they moved to Canada
- Kamala was raised in Canada until she graduated High

## School

- The family also spent time in a number of other countries during her raising, not the USA
- Kamala's stories about her USA raising are false, as she was raised in Canada
- Kamala returned to the USA to enter college after High School in Canada
- There is no record of either parent ever "naturalizing" to become U.S. citizens
- Kamala finished dead last in the 2020 DNC Presidential primaries, the first to drop out
- Kamala had less than 1% support from her own party in 2020
- Over 14-million democrat voters nominated Joe Biden for 2024 Presidential candidate
- No one has voted for Kamala Harris for president as of this writing
- Kamala is not a natural born Citizen eligible for the office of President or Vice President

Now, for those of you who think you need the Supreme Court or some anti-American Harvard or Yale "expert" to tell you what natural born Citizen means, well defined in every dictionary, the US Supreme Court has already ruled on the matter at least four times in the 1800s.

1. [The Venus case, 12 U.S. 253 \(1814\)](#)
2. [Shanks v. Dupont, 28 U.S. 242 \(1830\)](#)
3. [Minor v. Happersett, 88 U.S. 162 \(1874\)](#)
4. [United States v. Wong Kim Ark, 169 U.S. 649 \(1898\)](#)

While none of these cases were specifically about Article II eligibility for office, they were about "legal citizenship" and in each case, the Supreme Court referenced the correct source of the term natural born Citizen in Article II, Vattel's treatise The Law of Nations.

To summarize, "the children of legal citizens are natural born

Citizens” are “true citizens” via true “birthright.” Children of legal citizen parents born in the country, are natural born Citizens of the country, because... *“in order to be of the country, it is necessary that a person be born of a father who is a citizen; for, if he [she] is born there of a foreigner, it will be only the place of his [her] birth, and not his [her] country.”* – Section 212 ... *“We have observed above (§ 212), that they have a right to enter into the society of which their fathers were members.”*

In Kamala’s case, Kamala’s parents could have claimed legal citizenship in Jamaica for her on this basis.

This means that Kamala is a U.S. citizen by virtue of the [14<sup>th</sup> Amendment](#), a mass-naturalization amendment granting legal citizenship status to former slave families following the [13<sup>th</sup> Amendment](#) and the [Dred Scott ruling in 1857](#).

Harris is a citizen by virtue of legislative process, known as our “naturalization” process. Therefore, she is not a citizen by virtue of nature, but as a result of legislative action. If the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment did not exist, she would not be a legal citizen of the USA at all.

## **A TIME TO CHALLENGE**

President Trump not only has a right and the legal standing to challenge Harris’ eligibility on this basis, but he also has an American leadership obligation to do so, on behalf of all American citizens who want to end the past practice of allowing non-natural born Citizens to occupy the Oval Office.

A proper legal challenge should be filed immediately with the US Supreme Court under emergency original jurisdiction of the court, against the Democratic Party for failing to properly vet their unelected [2024 Presidential “presumed” nominee, Kamala Harris](#), and the Harris Campaign for putting forth a fraudulent candidate for the highest political office in our

land.

Should Trump file such a challenge with the Court, the court would have to consider the challenge quickly ahead of the elections, and they would also have to review the historical background of the Article II requirement for office, as well as past Supreme Court cases involving the subject.

In my opinion, there is no saving the U.S. Constitutional Representative Republic without saving the Oval Office from ongoing foreign occupation.

Nothing could possibly be more critical to the future of our country and the clock is ticking!

While writing this piece, I decided to do a fresh internet search regarding Kamala's parents, and this was the first thing that came up, reportedly determined by AI (*artificial intelligence software*)

### **Shyamala Gopalan naturalization**

Based on the provided information, **Shyamala Gopalan**, the mother of Vice President Kamala Harris, was a **natural-born citizen of India**. She was born on December 7, 1938, in Madras, Madras Presidency, British India (present-day Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India) to P. V. Gopalan, a civil servant, and Rajam, her mother.

**As a natural-born citizen of India, Shyamala Gopalan did not require naturalization to become a U.S. citizen.** Instead, she immigrated to the United States and became a U.S. citizen through the process of naturalization.

### **Key Points:**

- **Shyamala Gopalan** was born in India and was a natural-born citizen of India.
- She immigrated to the United States and became a U.S. citizen through naturalization.

- **There is no information available on her specific naturalization process or date.**
- **As Kamala Harris's mother, Shyamala Gopalan's U.S. citizenship was acquired through her own naturalization, not through her husband's naturalization or any other means.**

So, I researched official immigration records and found no immigration papers on either of Kamala's parents. This is NOT simply about the absolute fraud, [Kamala Harris](#). This is about foreign occupation of our Oval Office and the silent coup of our country!

The practice of letting those with evil intent destroy our country piece-by-piece must end. I remain hopeful that the American people will end it peacefully via legitimate elections.

© 2024 Lex Greene – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Lex Greene: [LexGreene24@gmail.com](mailto:LexGreene24@gmail.com)