

Trump on transgenders in public restrooms

“There is a kind of gospel being proclaimed today which conveniently accommodates itself to the spirit of the age, and makes no demand for godliness.” – Duncan Campbell

Pragmatist – a person who takes a practical approach to problems and is concerned primarily with the success or failure of his or her actions.

As stated in a [previous article](#), Trump is a pragmatist. As such, he always thinks in common sense terms when he answers a question. Thus the answer he recently gave about the transgender bathroom issue was what I would have probably said, because running into a transgender in a public facility is highly unlikely.

Here in Knoxville, we have one transgender in our neighborhood. He was previously married and has children. He was even a radio host. There was an article in the local newspaper about him years ago. I haven't seen him for a while, but he was going to go through with the hormonal changes and surgery to change his looks from male to female. When I'd see him, he would be wearing a long blond wig, have on short shorts and tight tops over some well-developed fake breasts. I don't know what's happened to him, but I do know that the surgeries performed to change gender identities have not worked out well as far as helping the person become more well-adjusted.

At Johns Hopkins, after pioneering sex-change surgery, they demonstrated that the practice brought no important benefits. [\[Link\]](#) As a result, they stopped offering that form of treatment in the 1970s. Their efforts had little influence on the emergence of this new idea about sex, or upon the

expansion of the number of “transgendered” among young and old. Remember, [Medicare pays for sex changes](#), (that’s why Social Security is broke) and the [military is told to accept transgendered](#).

✘ There’s a lot the sex change industry doesn’t tell you. Here’s a [prime example](#) from Charisma News. The picture is of Nancy Verhelst, a 44-year-old woman disappointed by her female-to-male surgery, who decided to die by euthanasia.

In a [WSJ article](#), they state, transgender surgery isn’t the solution, a drastic physical change doesn’t address underlying psycho-social troubles. [[Link](#)] But [studies repeatedly show that “transgender” people who seek to become the opposite sex are in fact not happy or fulfilled](#). In fact, a life-or-death internal war is continually going on within, to the degree that many, if not most, seek to end their lives.

Trump on Transgender Bathrooms

Trump was asked, [in an interview on CBS News](#), about North Carolina’s controversial bathroom law, HB2, which, by stopping local governments from passing anti-discrimination rules, effectively requires so-called “transgender” people to use bathrooms assigned to their biological sex at birth.

In the interview, Trump said North Carolina should “leave it the way it is” because before HB2, “there have been very few problems. There have been very few complaints the way it is. People go. They use the bathroom they feel is appropriate. There has been so little trouble. And the problem with what happened in North Carolina is the strife and the economic – I mean the economic punishment that they’re taking.” HB2 is negatively affecting North Carolina’s economy. [[Link](#)]

✘ Of course, [the pressure from the politically correct crowd is astronomical](#). Perhaps, NC is right, but there are problems either way. Yet, the chances of meeting up with a transgender

are still minimal, and the cost to the state from the PC crowd is huge. (Would you like Cher's daughter Chastity, who is now Chaz, to show up in a woman's bathroom? Chaz now looks so obviously like a male.)

Trump is, of course, absolutely right. In the 1960s, I lived on the near north side of Chicago, the State and Rush Street area, where all the nightlife happened. Even back then there was a particular club on Rush Street where you knew that inside were men dressed as women doing imitations of famous people. Chicago's near north is where the action happened, and we didn't pay much attention to the club. I do know however, that many of these men, when made up, were absolutely beautiful looking women. At that time, and in that area, there was never a problem with public facilities and transgender men or women.

America's Transgender Population

The best estimates I could find of the number of transgenders in America's population, were 700,000 or .1 to .3% of the population. In reality this is quite miniscule. The chances of running into a transgender in a public facility with numerous stalls, is still miniscule. Thus the reason for Mr. Trump's statement. As well, transgenders have higher than average crimes committed against them.

I cannot see a male, dressed as a woman, going into a male restroom. Other males would immediately react negatively. Neither can I see a male dressed as a woman going into a woman's restroom without most women leaving immediately. Of course, there are those transgender men who truly look like women and vice versa. Needless to say, the population is still so insignificant, that running into someone in a public facility who is a transgender is extremely microscopic.

Trump Expounds on His Original Remark

A day later, faced with backlash from conservatives, Trump

explained his initial remark. In an interview on Fox News tonight, Trump told host Sean Hannity:

“I love North Carolina, and they have a law, and it’s a law that, you know, unfortunately is causing them some problems. And I fully understand that they want to go through, but [they are losing business](#), and they are having people come out against it. I think that **local communities and states should make the decision**. And I feel very strongly about that. The federal government should not be involved.” [[Link](#)]

The Politically Correct Crowd and Reality

Realistically, Mr. Trump is absolutely right. Prior to the politically correct brouhaha of transgenders and bathrooms, there was little chance anyone would run into a male or female transgender in a public restroom. Knowing that many restrooms only have one facility for male and female, and that facility has only one stall and the door locks, there is no problem. However, facilities with numerous stalls could ultimately cause concern.

Now that this potty problem has been forced to the front of daily discussions, we will be hearing and probably seeing more and more transgenders purposely using facilities that do not match their birth gender. Still the risks are minimal.

I would agree with the pragmatist statement made by Mr. Trump...and why is it even a question in today’s presidential campaign when we have so many huge problems that totally outweigh the question of what potty the gender-undecided actually uses.



Where on the scale of importance does this issue sit when we have Islamic Syrians invading our country, when we have a \$21 Trillion-dollar debt, when our military is spread all over the

world, when trade agreements have destroyed the jobs of this country, when our borders are like sieves?

The Other Side of This Issue

Now that the PC crowd has made such an issue of the potty problem for those who are gender challenged, there will surely be bathroom confrontations that would not have occurred had this not been the number one topic of social and main stream media.

Allowing transgenders to openly use the toilets of their sexual choice is going to result in other problems, much more profound than running into a man who wants to be a woman.

Take the case of a man in Toronto claiming to be a transgender to gain access to and prey on women in two shelters. Under the name "Jessica," he was able to get into the women's shelters, where he sexually assaulted several women in 2012, the *Toronto Sun* [reports](#).

In Kailey Triller's article, [A Rape Victim Speaks Out About Transgender Bathrooms](#), she is appalled that locker rooms in schools, gyms, and businesses are actually allowing transgender people to use the facilities of the sex they identify with, regardless of their anatomy. Kailey is not saying that transgenders are predators, and statistically, they are not. However, there are enough predators in today's world who will likely make good use of the situation and "pretend" to be a transgender person to gain access to the people they wish to exploit, namely women and children. Just Google [Jason Pomares](#), Norwood [Smith Burnes](#), or [Taylor Buehler](#), for starters.

Kailey Triller goes on to say:

Do they know that more than 99 percent of single-victim incidents are committed by males? That they are experts in rationalization who minimize their number of victims? Don't they know that insurance companies highlight locker rooms as

a high-risk area for abuse that should be carefully monitored and protected?

Don't they know that one out of every four little girls will be sexually abused during childhood, and that's without giving predators free access to them while they shower? Don't they know that, for women who have experienced sexual trauma, finding the courage to use a locker room at all is a freaking badge of honor? That many of these women view life through a kaleidoscope of shame and suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, dissociation, poor body image, eating disorders, drug and alcohol abuse, difficulty with intimacy, and worse?

Why would people knowingly invite further exploitation by creating policies with no safeguards in place to protect them from injury? With zero screening options to ensure that biological males who enter locker rooms actually identify as female, how could a woman be sure the person staring at her wasn't exploiting her? Why is it okay to make her wonder?

Who Is Responsible

☒ Once again, we have the politically correct crowd pushing a new social order, an order that relegates a miniscule minority above the majority. As constraints on behavior increasingly are loosened, the social fabric increasingly becomes frayed, resulting in increasing chaos and disorder. But a society cannot function under such circumstances, so citizens increasingly turn to the state as a solution, thereby expanding the powers of an already corrupt government.

[Numbers 26 and 40](#) of the [1963 Communist Goals For America](#), which was [entered into the Congressional Record](#) (Appendix, pp. A34-A35) on January 10, 1963, states:

26. *Present homosexuality, degeneracy and promiscuity as "normal, natural, healthy."*



40. *Discredit the family as an institution. Encourage promiscuity and easy divorce.*

Conclusion

Realistically, it is not the transgender folks who are the problem, but the predators who will use the restrooms, locker rooms, showers, etc. for their own deviant purposes, masquerading as a transgender. It is nothing short of negligent to instate policies that elevate the emotional comfort of a relative few over the physical safety of a large group of vulnerable people.

So, yes, I agree with Mr. Trump. Had this not even been a topic of conversation promoted by the PC crowd and the media, we most likely would not have problems. Now that these entities have made this a huge topic of discussion, we could end up with the problems Kailey Triller presents in her excellent article.

Trump always answers these “gotcha” questions with pragmatist answers...and every time, he’s been right.