

Trump should move on this: Cruz, Rubio and Secretaries of State

“An able, disinterested, public-spirited press, with trained intelligence to know the right and courage to do it, can preserve that public virtue without which popular government is a sham and a mockery.” –Joseph Pulitzer

Americans have been doing the job of the disgraced media including cable ‘news’ networks for decades. In-depth, thorough investigations of corrupt politicians. Millions of words are written or spoken every week on the stupid tube, radio and print that the liberal media has an agenda. Too many alleged big name ‘conservative’ mouthpieces are guilty of the same thing – especially when it comes to presidential eligibility as set forth in the U.S. Constitution.

Both liberal and ‘conservative’ heavies in print and electronic media continue to push the big lie regarding presidential eligibility: a ‘natural born citizen’ is a child of one U.S. citizen at the time of birth. That is not true, but they don’t care. The Republican National Party and their elites allowed a constitutionally ineligible candidate, Barry Soetoro aka Obama, to run twice, get on the ballot in all 50 states and accepted the electoral college vote based on fraud. The Republican Party did nothing when Nancy Pelosi and her coconspirators committed fraud by submitting documents proclaiming Barry eligible to all the Secretaries of States.

There is NO question Marco Rubio is ineligible. NO question since neither of his parents were U.S. citizens at the time of his birth. Rubio’s parents were foreign nationals when he was born. Rubio’s parents didn’t become U.S. citizens until he was four years old. His name should not be on any ballot in any

state.

Ted Cruz knew two years ago this was going to be a problem, just like the criminal impostor in the White House knew. Soetoro was born with dual citizenship: A British citizen and U.S. citizen. That's also the legal issue here with Cruz.

Cruz legally renounced his Canadian citizenship. Cruz wants everyone to believe that since his mother was a U.S. citizen at the time he was born, that makes him a 'natural born' citizen. It does not. Both parents must be U.S. citizens at the time the child is born. Ted Cruz's father was not a U.S. citizen at the time of his birth.

Next came the revelation there is no evidence proving Cruz's parents filed a Consular Report of Birth Abroad. Cruz refuses to produce that legal document. No different than the fraud in the White House hiding and then producing a forged birth certificate. What is Cruz hiding? This is Barry Obama all over again. Cruz was eager to plaster revocation of his Canadian citizenship all over the Internet and for the press, so why not the Consular Report of Birth Abroad – one certified and stamped by the State Department ? If the document exists, release it, but it still doesn't make him eligible.

And, finally, I believe the final nail in Cruz's web of lies has now caught up to him. JB Williams broke this in his recent column and here's the exact text from the Government of Canada's official web site regarding citizenship [Emphasis mine]:

Canadian Citizenship Act [January 1, 1947]

"Up to January 1, 1947, there was no legal status of Canadian citizens, only British subjects. This Act gave legal recognition to the terms "Canadian citizen" and "Canadian citizenship". The Act established who was and who could become a Canadian citizen. There were many provisions for loss of citizenship, including retention provisions for the first and

subsequent generations born outside Canada. The Act also contained provisions which provided special treatment for British subjects. In general, Canadian citizens who acquired citizenship of another country automatically lost Canadian citizenship (dual citizenship was not recognized).

Citizenship Act [February 15, 1977]

“The Citizenship Act, effective February 15, 1977, replaced the 1947 Act with a more equitable statute. For example, British subjects no longer received special treatment and dual citizenship became recognized. There was only one provision for automatic loss of citizenship, limited to persons born in the second or subsequent generation outside Canada unless they took steps to retain their citizenship by their 28th birthday.”

Ted Cruz was born on December 22, 1970. Dual citizenship was not recognized in Canada at the time of his birth. Dual citizenship in Canada was not recognized until 1977.

Since he legally renounced his Canadian citizenship and thus far Cruz has refused to provide any legal proof he became a U.S. citizen along the way, it appears he has no citizenship for either Canada (renounced) or the United States.

If Cruz never became a U.S. or naturalized citizen of the U.S. and has given up his Canadian citizenship, then what country does he claim citizenship under?

If he has no provable, legal citizenship in the US he cannot run for any office never mind president and no Secretary of State in this country can allow his name on the ballot. It's too late for Iowa, NH and probably South Carolina, but not the other 47 states.

I did not create Ted Cruz or Marco Rubio's legal mess nor did any other journalist doing their job. They did and must now suffer the consequences.

Donald Trump, according to news reports, says he will sue Ted Cruz: "If @TedCruz doesn't clean up his act, stop cheating, & doing negative ads, I have standing to sue him for not being a natural born citizen." Yes, Trump would have legal standing to challenge the ineligibility of both Cruz and Rubio and it should be done now before the primaries go any further.

What must happen? Do the same as I am this week. A letter to the Secretary of State here in Texas with a copy to our State Attorney General and Governor to the point:

Ted Cruz is not a U.S. citizen in any flavor and cite Canadian law above. Therefore, it will be fraud by your office to put him on the ballot under the category for president nor can Ted Cruz vote in the State of Texas unless he can prove – not another forged document like Barry Obama used – that at some point he became a naturalized citizen which still prohibits him for running for president. Heck, send a copy of this column along with a cover letter.

If every Secretary of State (with a copy to the Governor and Attorney General in your state) gets 10,000 letters and Donald Trump moves on this we might actually see the Constitution upheld. Back your opponent into a corner they can't get out of.

What I believe Donald Trump should do is retain Dr. Edwin Vieira (if he will do it) so Edwin can advise the Republican National Committee and Secretaries of States for the remaining 47 who have not yet held their primaries that both Rubio and Cruz must not be on their state ballot because Cruz is neither naturalized (unless he can suddenly find some document to prove it), he has no citizenship because he renounced his Canadian citizenship and he is not natural born as required by the U.S. Constitution. Edwin could make this a win and if they want a fight, Donald Trump has the money to take them on.

Justice Antonin Scalia passed away, February 13, 2016. A sad

day for America to lose such a voice for we the people. A man of God who will be sorely missed. I bring this up because this is a state issue and sure as the sun shines, Cruz supporters will want this to go to the U.S. Supreme Court. Who the state puts on a ballot for elections held in each state is a state issue whether it's a candidate for a state house, Governor, president or any public office.

May States Determine the Eligibility of Ted Cruz to Run for President?

"Federal courts are loathe to decide "political questions" particularly when those questions place judges in the unenviable position of being tasked with upholding the Constitution at the cost of disqualifying a man who, if elected anyway, would wield immense power.

"There is, however, precedent for a such a situation. In 2008, an elector from California challenged the eligibility of John McCain to serve as president, given that he was born in the Panama Canal Zone. Judge William Alsup of the U.S. District Court for Northern California heard the case and ruled that Article III of the Constitution places the deciding of such "political questions" outside the jurisdiction of the federal courts:...

"State judges, however, are not bound by the Constitution's separation of powers. In fact, the Tenth Amendment protects the "numerous and indefinite" powers of the states that created the federal authority. Another provision of the Constitution, in fact, seems to specifically reserve to the states the power to decide the eligibility of candidates for federal office. Article I, Section 4 reads, "The times, places and manner of holding elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each state by the legislature thereof."

"In an article reporting on the authority of the states to

determine the eligibility of Ted Cruz (or any other candidate of questionable constitutional qualification), the Washington Post cited a scholarly analysis of the issue by Ohio State University law professor Daniel Tokaji printed in the Michigan Law Review: Far-fetched as that seems, supporters of John F. Kerry's 2004 presidential campaign brought a state court challenge to Ralph Nader's candidacy based on a state law that, according to Tokaji, "prohibited candidates from running in a general election after running in state primaries."

"To constitutionalists and friends of liberty, this approach has not only merit, but the hope of simultaneously shoring up the sovereignty of the states and of protecting the union from the dangerous precedent of putting aside constitutional qualifications for federal officers."

From past lawsuits filed regarding the usurper in the White House, Secretaries of State said it's not their job to verify any candidate as to eligibility and the courts let them get away with it. However, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals out in California ruled against a Peace & Freedom Party candidate, Peta Lindsay, when she ran for president because she did not meet constitutional eligibility. Ms. Lindsay was only 27 years old. The Constitution requires age 35 as the minimum. Her name was removed from the ballot.

If memory serves me right from 2008 – 2011 about a dozen state courts said anyone could run for president and that included Barry Obama. Secretaries of State said they had no statutory authority to verify whether or not any candidate was eligible for the office they were seeking. What horse manure. What those state courts and Secretaries of State said is anyone can run for president. What Secretaries of State said is all the RNC or DNC has to do is fill out the required form even if the information is false or a lie and that's just fine with them! We might as well then be just another Banana Republic and our elections nothing but a free for all and the Constitution is of no consequence.

Donald Trump can take the high road on this by stating there are two candidates who are not constitutionally eligible to be on the ballot, Marco Rubio and Ted Cruz. My actions would be the same if the candidates were John Kasich or Dr. Ben Carson. I am running to take an oath to preserve and protect the U.S. Constitution and that's what I'm doing. It's a most unfortunate situation for both candidates and their supporters but surely they (Ted Cruz being a lawyer and former Solicitor General for the State of Texas) should have known they do not meet constitutional eligibility. For now my legal team is addressing this serious issue.

Just leave it at that so hyenas in the media like Megyn Kelly at FOX don't have any ammunition to rip him apart on their shows. If the media hounds him, Trump can simply say: My legal team is addressing this issue. Americans should care. I hope they do and that's all I'm going to say about it right now. Thank you.

As I have said ad nauseum over the past 25 years: Either we are a nation of laws or lies but we can't be both and be a great nation. The lies and fraud perpetrated upon the American people must stop.

[Just a short note about 9/11 and Smart Electric Meters. The cost of America's undeclared "war" (invasion) in Afghanistan has now reached \$1 trillion borrowed dollars – massive debt heaped on us all based on what happened on 9/11. Regular readers of my column know I continue to press for the truth about the events of 9/11. Military grade nanothermite is not a conspiracy theory. It was found and tested from the rubble at the twin towers. A new, powerful film has been released: The Anatomy of a Great Deception. For full disclosure I receive no compensation, but I want you to get a copy (or a few) and share it with others or give a copy as a present. I've purchased half a dozen copies and given them to individuals I believe seek the truth. It's very powerful simply because it's one 'ordinary' man's story who ask a simple question that led

him to a not so simple journey. There is factual information in this film that many have never heard about but everyone should. Just a suggestion, order more than one and give one to a friend. Also, must see video on the dangers of Smart Meters on your home, titled: Take Back Your Power.]

© 2016 – NewsWithViews.com and Devvy – All Rights Reserved