Vaccines: Good Or Bad?, Part 3

Money seems to be the deciding factor in all of this. The fact that vaccines seem to help the globalist in their quest to reduce the population of the planet seems to move this along quite well. In medicine, the mark of corporate dominance is obvious in the drug commercials on TV and in the silencing of the voices of conscientious science-based drug and vaccine skeptics.

It has increasingly come to my attention that institutions such as the CDC, the FDA, the AMA, the AAP, the AAFP and even the prestigious Mayo Clinic (perhaps to keep competitive with other for-profit, corporate-influenced medical institutions) have begun taking money from corporations interested in selling their products and services at a high profit.

In the case of Big Medicine and Big Pharma, this moneyinfluencing takes the form of pharmaceutical company-generated "unrestricted" grants, speaker's bureau fees, advertising income in medical journals, funding for drug-related research and the granting of stock options for being helpful to the corporations. Those institutions, once supposedly non-profit institutions that were independent of outside corporate influences, now often act as spokespersons for the multinational pharmaceutical and vaccine industries.

To their shame, these organizations often act like Big Pharma lobbyists and often ignore (and even suppress) a lot of the new evidence-based medical research data that may contradict the established, sometimes out-of-date medical dogmas that most well-off establishment groups adhere to. Ignoring or repressing new research and ostracizing conscientious researchers is bad medicine and bad science.[1] If you're old enough to remember the swine flu 'epedemic' during the Ford

presidency you'll remember that the government warned all America to get the vaccine, many did but the only person that died was one that got vaccinated. Personally I haven't had any vaccines since I was a kid. I won't get the annual flu shot that most people get and I haven't had the flu in over 30 years.

There is no guarantee of vaccine efficiency and way too many risks involved. Just two years after Gardisil's introduction into the US, the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) reported the following HPV vaccine-related problems, including 32 deaths (that were more or less equally distributed following either the first, second or third inoculation). The median interval from vaccination to death was 14.5 days.

HPV vaccine-related problems that were reported on the VAERS site included autoimmune hemolytic anemia, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, lymphadenopathy, pulmonary embolus, nausea, pancreatitis, vomiting, asthenia (weakness), chills, death, chronic fatigue, malaise, autoimmune diseases, hypersensitivity reactions (including anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions, bronchospasm, and urticaria), arthralgia, myalgia, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis, dizziness, Guillain-Barré syndrome, headache, motor neuron disease, paralysis, seizures, syncope (sometimes resulting in falling with injury), transverse myelitis, and deep venous thrombosis.

But contrast what <u>Mayo Clinic's 2016 website says about</u> adverse reactions to HPV vaccines: "may cause soreness at the injection site (the arm), headaches and low-grade fever. Sometimes dizziness or fainting occurs after the injection... Cervarix (GlaxoSmithKline's bivalent HPV vaccine) might also cause nausea, vomiting, diarrhea or abdominal pain."

For some reason (corporate interests being served?), Mayo's website fails to mention ANY of the serious adverse effects

that were reported on VAERS.[2]

It is obvious that even the Mayo Clinc is subject to corporate corruption. There is even more evidence that this vaccine is more dangerous than they are letting us know: As of January 2015 VAERS revealed that there had been 220 reported HPV vaccine-related deaths, 1,283 reported disabled patients, 3,945 reported hospital admissions, 12,305 reported admissions to an emergency room, 595 reported abnormal Pap smears, 262 reported cases of cervical dysplasia and 100 reported cases of cervical cancer! It is important to mention that the FDA admits that as few as 1% of adverse reactions to drugs or vaccines are ever reported by patients or physicians, so these numbers are likely to be falsely low. In other words, the real number of adverse events related to HPV could be as much as 100 times higher than the reported 38,217!

Despite their "confidence" in the efficacy of the vaccine, Merck and Glaxo still advise that inoculated patients follow the same schedule of regular Pap smears that unvaccinated women are advised to follow. In other word, there is no guarantee the shots will work.[3]

These figures don't tell us how many girls were issued the vaccine but these numbers are outrageous! It seems that no matter how dangerous the so-called medication is as long as the side effects are mentioned it's OK to issue them and even mandate them. Next time there is a commercial on television about a medication listen to the possible side effects. In all too many cases the side effects are worse than the problem it is supposed to treat!

Sometimes we see geographical areas that seem to produce very bad results. It is probably more of a very defective/contaminated vaccine batch than anything else but it is still something that we must, as parents, take into consideration when 'authorities' tell us we need to vaccinate our kids. Any time we hear of children getting sick from a

disease in which someone along the transmission chain was not vaccinated, we are treated to a flurry of invective about "science-deniers" who endanger everyone because they don't vaccinate their children.

The fact that these vaccinations themselves might be responsible for the contagion in the first place is ignored.

But how often does getting sick from one of these diseases that allegedly pass through an unvaccinated child actually produce a fatality? I haven't heard of one in many years.

Yet vaccines have produced fatalities. In fact, an entire group of people were sickened and two died in Mexico recently.

According to <u>Fox News Latino</u>: "2 Babies die, 37 others sickened by vaccines in southern Mexico."

Two babies from rural communities died and 37 others were hospitalized in the southern state of Chiapas after having an allergic reaction to vaccinations administered by Mexican Social Security Institute, or IMSS, personnel, state officials said.

"The Chiapas Health Secretariat is treating 37 children in the town of La Pimienta, outside the city of Simojovel, after they experienced allergic reactions to a vaccine supposedly administered by IMSS health personnel," state health officials said.

A total of "14 children are in serious condition, 22 are stable and one is in critical condition," the Chiapas Health Secretariat said in a statement.

"Two children age 1 died," the secretariat said.

That sounds like a significant number. Why would they all be clustered around a single area?

If you read to the end of the article you learn some more

numbers:

A total of 52 children received the BCG (tuberculosis), rotavirus and hepatitis B vaccines at the hospital in Simojovel as part of the National Vaccination Program, the IMSS said.

Both the Chiapas Health Secretariat and the IMSS are conducting investigations to identify the cause of the allergic reactions that the babies experienced.

The National Human Rights Commission, or CNDH, also said in a statement released Saturday that it was opening an investigation to determine what caused the babies' deaths.

"Until the investigations are completed, administration of these vaccines has been suspended at the national level as a preventative measure," the IMSS said. EFE.[4]

This is a 75% failure rate! I can assure you it wasn't the fault of the patients. It's the fault of the vaccine. It is a major problem because the government allows the pharmacutical companies immunity in most cases. This will be discussed later in this series. Be prepared for an onslught of demand for even adults to get vaccines in some cases just to get a job. 1984 isn't coming, it's here.

© 2017 Roger Anghis — All Rights Reserved

FootNotes

- 1. <u>Big pharmas nefarious control of health care the vaccine</u> injury compensation program
- 2. <u>Big pharmas nefarious control of health care the vaccine</u> injury compensation program
- 3. <u>Big pharmas nefarious control of health care the vaccine injury compensation program</u>
- 4. <u>75 percent of children vaccinated in one hospital sicken</u> or die