What Happened To The American Republic?

According to a well-known anecdote, after he exited from the deliberations of the Constitutional Convention, held in strict secrecy in Philadelphia in 1787, a lady asked Benjamin Franklin, "Well, Doctor, what have we got, a republic or a monarchy?" Known for his sharp wit, Franklin's answer was lapidary: "A Republic, if you can keep it." Unfortunately, it seems that we have failed to keep it, and the marvelous experiment the Founding Fathers created is crumbling apart, not by mistake, but by design.

Part of the American Republic's success indicates that the Founding Fathers had read Plato's *Republic*. While a democracy is controlled by masses of people congregating in public squares or mobs rioting on the streets, a Republic is a form of government in which the supreme power rests not on a king or an oligarchy but in a body of educated citizens entitled to vote and is exercised by representatives chosen directly or indirectly by them. A Republic is based on laws, not on the whims of a majority.

Luckily, iPhones had not been invented yet, and people read. The Rockefellers had not yet imposed upon us a system of public education whose main goal was not to educate but to indoctrinate. Hollywood and TV were not already working hard to foment a culture of promiscuity and trash. Foreign visitors were surprised by the high cultural levels of Americans even in remote parts of the country.

To guard our democracy from the tyranny of the majority and the passions of the mob, the Founding Fathers built barriers between the will of the masses and the exercise of power. Voting rights were restricted to educated, responsible citizens. The president and vice-president were not elected by popular vote, but selected by an Electoral College, whose representatives had been selected by the various states, often through state legislatures. The Senate's structure consisted of two members from every state, independently of its size or population. Supreme Court members were selected by the President and confirmed by the Senate, and their job was not to interpret but to apply the Constitution. This separation of powers was created to build strong walls around the Republic to protect it from democracy's attacks.

Since its very creation the globalist anti-American conspirators ensconced at the Council on Foreign Relations launched an attack of the U.S. Constitution, which they saw as the main obstacle to advance their globalists' plans. As early as 1948, CFR member James McGregor Burns, in his book *The Power to Lead* recognized the fact that to carry out their plans the CFR conspirators needed to get rid of the Constitution. He wrote:

"Let's us face reality. The framers [of the U.S. Constitution] have simply been too shrewd for us. The have outwitted us. They designed separate institutions that cannot be unified by mechanical linkages, frail bridges, tinkering. If we are to 'turn the Founders upside down' – we must directly confront the constitutional structure they erected."

In 1975, a majority of Senators and Representatives of both Republican and Democrat Parties — which I call the Repucratic Party — among them CFR members George McGovern, Clairborne Pel, Christopher Dodd, Les Aspin, and Patricia Schroeder, signed a Declaration of Interdependence written by historian Henry Steele Commager (CFR), which proclaimed,

"When in the course of history the threat of extinction confronts mankind, it is necessary for the people of the United States to declare their interdependence with the people of all nations ... Two centuries ago our forefathers brought forth a nation; now we must join others to bring forth a New World Order. ... Narrow notions of national sovereignty must not be permitted to curtail that obligation."

So, the CFR conspirators' own words and deeds confirm the suspicions already advance by many American patriots that the ultimate goal of this traitorous organization has always been the destruction of the American Republic as it was conceived by the Founding Fathers of this nation. One of the most cherished goals of the Council on Foreign Relations is the elimination of the Constitution, the end of U.S. sovereignty, and its dissolution into a CFR-controlled community of states – what the CFR conspirators call the New World Order. Obviously, the CFR is without a doubt the highest threat to the national security of the United States.

Therefore, I was extremely offended, though not surprised, after reading the recent Summary of the 2018 National Defense Strategy of the United States of America, "Sharpening the American Military's Competitive Edge,"[1] produced by current Secretary of Defense, Gen. James Mattis. According to this document, "We will unapologetically represent America's values and belief in democracy," as well as maintain our "principles of democracy."

Some time ago I read that U.S. military officers took an oath by which they solemnly swore to support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, and that they would bear true faith and allegiance to the same without any reservations or purpose of evasion. Now, after reading the 2018 National Defense Strategy of the U.S.A., I see that this has changed dramatically, and what some high ranking officers of the U.S. military are committed instead to is their "belief in democracy" and maintaining their "shared principles of democracy.""

So, given the fact that the word "democracy" is not mentioned in any of the founding documents on which this country was created: the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, it seems that, while I was taking my siesta, somebody changed the officers' Oath of Allegiance. Currently, instead of protecting and defending the Constitution from all enemies, their main role is to impose democracy – something we don't have and the Funding Fathers abhorred – down the throats of all people, foreign and domestic.

Well, if the American values Gen. Mattis wants to maintain are based on the belief of democracy, I have the feeling that most of the people who gave their votes to Donald Trump do not share those values. Moreover, the very title of the document, mentioning the words "competitive edge," resembling more the terminology of a corporate boardroom than a military institution, shows that the whole document is based on wrong premises and originated in the wrong place, most likely in the Council on Foreign Relations, the place where most traitorous documents are usually created, and an organization of which Gen. Mattis has been a proud member for many years.[2]

The document also mentions "the impact on current readiness from the longest continuous stretch of armed conflict in our Nation's history." It fails to mention however, that these never-ending, non-declared wars have been carried out in violation of the Constitution, which wisely placed the authorization to wage war in the hands of the Congress, not in a dictatorial president.

It also tells about "During conflict, attacks against our critical defense, government and infrastructure must be anticipated," implicating attacks just from foreign enemies, without explaining why the U.S. military has failed to protect us from those attacks coming from our domestic enemies. Actually, the worst attacks on our government, our critical defense, the destruction of America's infrastructure and industrial and economic base by outsourcing jobs to China and Mexico, as well as destroying our culture and well being by opening the borders to a veritable invasion of illegal aliens, was not planned in Moscow or Beijing, but in New York, at the CFR's secret conciliabula and carried out by their agents infiltrated in the U.S. Government and the military.

In another part, the document expresses the purpose of the U.S. military,

"To restore warfighting readiness and field a lethal force. The size of our force matters. The Nation must field sufficient, capable forces to defeat enemies and achieve sustainable outcomes that protect the American people and our vital interests."

Again, it fails to mention that the loss of fighting readiness now in need of restoration came as the result of changing our military from a lethal force capable of defeating our enemies, foreign and domestic, into a vehicle for social engineering based on a New World Order blueprint.

Obviously, the people who conceived this document were not thinking in protecting the national security of the American people, much less the U.S. Constitution, but in advancing and protecting the interest of international banks and transnational corporations — which, according to Gen. Smedley Butler, it is exactly what the U.S. military has been doing since 1878. [3].

Moreover, how can one explain why so many senior officers of the U.S. military, including Gen. Mattis, belong to an organization whose senior members despise so much the men and women in the military? Henry Kissinger, a senior CFR member and one of its most outspoken mouthpieces, once expressed in stark clarity the conspirators' opinion of the American military men and women who, unwittingly, have been risking their lives to advance the conspirators' anti-American global agenda. According to investigative journalists Woodward and Bernstein, Kissinger, in the presence of CFR member Gen. Alexander Haig, pointedly referred to military men as "dumb, stupid animals to be used" as pawns for foreign policy. [4]

It is time to stop sending the best of our youth to die in remote lands defending and advancing the interests of people who see them as dumb, stupid animals and pawns to impose on other people's the globalists' foreign policy. Enough is enough!

I think that Americans who selected with their votes Donald Trump as the U.S. President should write him letters demanding the immediate firing of Gen. Mattis as Secretary of Defense and appointing in his place a true defender of the Constitution who can write a new National Defense Strategy of the United States of America whose ultimate goal should be the defense of the U.S. Constitution against all enemies, foreign and, specially, domestic, by any means necessary.

© 2018 Servando Gonzales – All Rights Reserved

E-Mail Servando: servandoglez05@yahoo.com

FootNotes:

[1] You may read the whole document here.

[2] Alex Newman, "Some of Trump's Picks Have Troubling Links to Globalism, CFR," *The New American*, February 25, 2017. According to Newman, "<u>Secretary of Defense James Mattis, a</u> <u>military man who attended the 2015 Bilderberg meeting in</u> <u>Austria as a "distinguished fellow</u>" of the Hoover Institution." Also, *AFP Editor*, "<u>Gen. James Mattis: a Liberal</u> <u>Trojan Horse in the Trump Administration?</u>," *AmericanFreePress.net*.

[3] Gen. Smedley Butler, War is a Racket.

[4] Kissinger-Haig exchange in Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, *The Final Days* (New York: Touchstone, 1994), pp. 194-195.