What Our Politicians Have Done To America, Part 9

In this segment we will look at what our government has allowed to pass as healthcare. Agenda calls it 'sustainable medicine' but in reality it is far from it. It should be called sustainable population control. The thought of actually helping people has never crossed their minds. goal is controlling population growth unfortunately, this requires the elimination of people. Cancer does two things for the designers of Agenda 21 (2030) it makes billions for them and it lowers the population. will find that most vaccines now days have chemicals in them that have nothing to do with what you are vaccinated for, mercury is the most commonly used chemical that is a deadly to the human body. Most medical researchers argue that this is probably a coincidence: <u>Autism symptoms</u> tend to become apparent around the same time that children are scheduled to get routine vaccines.

Although there are two separate issues concerning vaccines and autism, they're often lumped together. One has to do with the measles, mumps, and rubella (MMR) vaccine; the other involves vaccines containing the chemical preservative thimerosal, which contains a form of mercury that has been suspected of causing autism and has recently been removed from most vaccines.

The MMR scare started 10 years ago with a report published in The Lancet that described the cases of eight children who, as their parents recalled, developed autistic symptoms and digestive ailments shortly after getting their first MMR dose. The researchers proposed that the vaccine might trigger a previously unknown form of regressive autism. They suggested that maybe the measles virus in the vaccine lodged in the intestine, causing some kind of reaction that then affected

the <u>brain</u>.[1] Remember in a previous column the former Governor of Colorado, Richard Lamm, stated that we have a 'duty to die'. This is the mindset of the Agenda 21 crowd. Everything has a globalist mentality. Individualism is discouraged; patriotism is shunned and even shamed in favor of the globalist mentality. Sustainable Medicine makes decisions through visioning councils that determine what shall be done or not done to each body in its group in its native habitat. Sustainable Medicine experts do not refer to citizens in sovereign nations but to 'humans' in their 'settlements'

Sustainable Medicine uses two classes of public actions to affect the largest numbers of people worldwide most efficiently. The first class of actions attacks high technology products. The method is to create a public health crisis that forces government or industry to eliminate a valuable medical or surgical technology that because of its expense and inequitable distribution makes it medically 'unsustainable.' Sustainable Medicine therefore clamors to eliminate such important, life-saving and life-extending medical devices as flexible polyvinylchloride plastic tubings treated with phthalates. During the past 50 years, flexible medical tubing has revolutionized breathing machines, intravenous medicating and blood transfusing, kidney dialysis, parenteral feeding, and neonatal medicine and surgery.

Sustainable Medicine's second class of public action attacks ideas of high technology scientific progress. The method is to revise people's expectations for health, for medical care, and for long life in harmony with the environment�. Sustainable Medicine devotees celebrate human death as natural, inevitable, and environmentally beneficial. Rather than a mere right to die, Sustainable Medicine inculcates a duty to die. Sustainable Medicine is the pivot around which all other Sustainable Development revolves. Principle #1 of the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) states: Human beings are at the center of concerns for sustainable

development. They are entitled to a healthy and productive life in harmony with nature.[2] Notice the statement 'life in harmony with nature. This is a declaration that the concerns of nature come before the concerns of man.

I remember listening to a radio program several years ago and a call in quest was against anything that might harm 'nature'. He was a vegetarian by choice because he believed that animals had just as much right to live as a human did. The radio host gave him a hypothetical situation of the caller and his family were lost in the woods and had not eaten for days. They were on the verge of starvation and needed to eat. He had a gun and saw a deer. Would he shoot the deer to feed his family? The quest stated he would shoot and eat his son before the animal because the animals were here first and had the right to live. I can't remember ever being as shocked as I was at his response. Biblical statements say the man was here first and then the animals and that Adam named them after they were made. Now I understand that many ignore what is called intelligent design even those in the church. that mankind is not supposed to eat meat in a reference to Genesis 1:29 And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat. They think this is a reference to eating only things that grow out of the ground. They ignore that after the flood God instructed Noah and his family to eat meat: Genesis 9:3 Every moving thing that liveth shall be meat for you; even as the green herb have I given you all things.

This is the mentality that is being forced on our kids in public schools. I am against virtually all public schooling as it is today for that reason. Even in medical school the emphasis is not really on saving life. It can't be when the means for prevention are ignored. Remember their term 'sustainable'. It has a sinister meaning. It sounds good but

is far from it. Tom DeWeese states: "Sustainable communities encourage people to work together..." There certainly are members of our society who take the whole Sustainablist agenda to heart and love to get involved improving their community. They clean out river banks, collect trash along roadways, recycle, watch their thermostats, and ride their bikes whenever possible. Good for them. That's their decision and they are free to make it.

But there are others who may have a different vision on how they want to live. Perhaps they don't agree with the dire predictions about environmental Armageddon. How do they fit in the Agenda for the 21st Century?

They are dealt with. Their children in the public schools are pummeled with the political correctness of being proper environmental stewards. Guilt plays a huge part in that indoctrination. It's necessary so future generations will be prepared to "work" together in their communities. In addition, in many schools now, the children are required to fulfill a certain number of hours of community service in order to qualify for their diploma. In a Sustainable world, proper attitude is at least as important as scholarship. Today's curriculum to ensure proper citizenship is called Common Core. It is the curriculum of Agenda 21 and is intended to be "lifelong." [3]

Vaccinations are only a small part of the plan of population control, a deadly part but a small part. We all have to stay vigilant and be aware of what the politicians are really trying to prepare for us. A real education is necessary. The education kids get in public schools are indoctrinations only.

Foot Notes

- 1. <u>WebMD Special Report: Autism Searching for Answers</u>
- 2. <u>Sustainable Medicine + Sustainable Development = Duty to</u>
 Die Part 1

3. The "Agenda for the 21st Century" is the root of your fight