

Why NWV conspirators fear guns in the hands of the people?

Liberty is not inherent in any form of government, it is in the heart of the free man. – Jean-Jacques Rousseau, *Reveries*.

No amount of force can control a free man; the most you can do is kill him. – Robert A. Heinlein, "If This Go On."

New World Order globalist conspirators and their agents keep churning out books advancing all types of anti-gun theories. Among the latest barrage of anti-gun books are: Adam Winkle, *Gun Fight: The Battle Over the Right to Bear Arms in America*; Dennis A. Henigan, *Lethal Logic: Exploding the Myths that Paralyze American Gun Policy*; Richard Feldman, *Ricochet: Confessions of a Gun Lobbyist*; Pamela Haag, *The Gunning of America: Business and the Making of American Culture*; Robert J. Spitzer, *Guns Across America: Reconciling Gun Rules and Gun Rights*, and Firmin DeBrabander, *Do Guns Make Us Free: Democracy and the Armed Society*.

Though the theories advanced in these books range from the illogical to the ridiculous, there are two main arguments characterizing them: first, the authors of these books only fear guns in the hands of law-abiding citizens but not in the hands of criminals or the government. Second, they mostly blame the NRA, gun makers, and the so-called "pro-gun lobby," for Americans' love of guns.

Facts, however, refute the NRA-gun lobby theory. The highest number of gun-related deaths in the past twenty years have occurred in Chicago, Detroit, Baltimore, Philadelphia, Cleveland and Oakland, cities under strict gun control laws. So, it makes sense to guess that most of these crimes were committed by people who have acquired their guns by illegal

means. Therefore, I'd bet that none of the criminals who committed the murders were NRA members. So, why this fixation of the Left on blaming the NRA for the illegal use of guns by criminals who are not NRA members?

Before going on with this article I would like to make clear two things: I am not a NRA member and, contrary to the NRA, I am not a Second Amendment supporter for the simple reason that basic rights don't need protection – which explains why there are no amendments to the Constitution protecting the right to life, freedom and property. The reason for this is because those are inherent human rights that don't need government "protection."

Actually, the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution is redundant, superfluous and unnecessary: the right of the people to own and bear arms should not be infringed because those who own and bear arms will use them against whoever tries to infringe those rights. The government would not protect those rights because historically governments have been the main violators of those rights. Also, if there are rights that need to be protected by the government, they stop being rights and become privileges – such as a driving or fishing license – the government can cancel at will.

Nevertheless, my facetious article "Abolish the Second Amendment,"[1] in which among other things I suggested the NRA should make Obama an honorary member – every time Obama has made an anti-gun speech the NRA membership has increased dramatically – was so misinterpreted and generated such strong criticism from readers lacking a sense of humor that it compelled me to write an even more satirical second part where I further clarified my points.

The second part brought no criticism. I suggest the reader to take a look at my article to see why.

One of the sources of my skepticism about the true motives of

the anti-gun activists is that abortionists and prescription drug corporations kill more people in this country than gun owners, but they have never been criticized by the gun grabbers. Another is that the U.S. is the largest seller of military hardware in the world and this role has only increased under the guidance of the impostor and Nobel Peace Prize winner currently living in the White House. No wonder the anti-gun Lefties never include tanks, attack helicopters, aircraft carriers and killer drones[2] among the tools of mass murderers they are so eager to ban.

So, it seems that, at least to some people with a weird sense of logic, killing somebody with a hand gun is bad, but killing his children with a scalpel, or killing him, his family and his dog using a drone is okay.

Nevertheless, the impostor in the White House has used every mass shooting to advance his CFR masters' anti-gun agenda and has made at least fourteen public statements against guns in the hands of the people. As expected, the CFR-controlled pressstitutes in the mainstream media have written innumerable editorials and opinion pieces calling for new laws limiting the people's access to the tools of protection – which they have tendentiously named “tools of mass murder.”

In an article titled “The Terror of Our Guns,” anti-gun author David Cole expressed his belief that the “individuals inspired by terrorist groups have eagerly adopted the military-style semi-automatic rifle capable of shooting multiple rounds of bullets quickly and accurately as a tool to produce maximum fatalities, mayhem and fear.”[3] Unfortunately, however, Cole does not explain who the “our” in his article is, but it seems he is not referring to al-Qaida or ISIS.

After the Nice, France terrorist attack that killed 85 people dead and injured 303 people, I will expect the gun grabbers adding automatic trucks to the list of killer weapons they want to ban.

One of the anti-gun authors I mention above, Firmin Debrabander, contends that, contrary to the NRA's claims that the right to bear arms is essential to liberty, guns actually undermine both liberty and democracy. Of course, the guns that he sees undermining both liberty and democracy are the ones in the hands of the people, not in the hands of government thugs.

Another of the authors, Pamela Haag, argues that gun manufacturers have successfully exploited notions of frontier individuality, responsibility and masculinity. Obviously, CFR-brainwashed authors who love collectivism, lack of responsibility and gender confusion are not happy with traditional "frontier" values.

Now, why does the conspirators'-bankrolled "progressive Left" fear guns in the hands of law-abiding, peaceful NRA members but not in the hands of criminals? In the first place, because there is a symbiotic relationship between criminals and the government. Criminals, and now terrorists, give the U.S. government the justification they need for the growing police state in America. Secondly, because while criminals have never rebelled against a tyrannical government, armed citizens are capable of doing so.

Moreover, there has always been a symbiotic relationship between criminals and tyrannical governments. Higher levels of criminality give the government needed pretext for a growing militarized police force.[4]

Both "conservative" Republicans and "progressive" Democrats apparently forget that some of the larger mass shootings in America – from Wounded Knee to Waco – have not been committed by free-lance criminals but by the U.S. government. Nevertheless, most of the people who fear gun in the hands of the citizens don't seem to fear guns in the hands of government thugs of the growing police state.

According to many of the anti-gun crowd fighting for gun

control laws, the National Rifle Association, which they see as a tool of the gun manufacturing industry, is the main force against gun control.[5] But this is nothing but smoke and mirrors. They fear NRA members for the sole reason that most of them are law-abiding, patriotic Americans armed and capable of overthrowing a tyrannical government.

The NRA is the maximum defender of Second Amendment rights. Nevertheless, reading its publications one may reach the conclusion that they do it mostly to protect the people's right to hunting and self-defense. The truth, however, is that the only reason the Founding Fathers included the Second Amendment in the Bill of Rights had nothing to do with "self-defense" but because they believed that the people needed to keep and bear arms for potential use in an armed insurrection against a tyrannical government.

This explains why a noted scholar specializing in the Second Amendment reached the conclusion that it "had almost nothing to do with the classic 'self defense' and everything to do with a civic republican argument that 'the people' as a collectivity were entitled to keep and bear arms for potential use in an armed insurrection against a tyrannical government." He also criticizes most lawyers, whether conservative or liberal, who ignore what he calls "the 'insurrectionist' origins" of the Second Amendment.[6]

Now, why does the U.S. Government not want guns in the hands of the American people? Because guns don't kill people, governments do, and the U.S. Government, hijacked by and fully under the control of the CFR globalist monopolists, hates competition.

The bottom line is that Americans love guns not because of NRA lobbying but because they love freedom and don't trust the greatest potential enemy of their freedom: the armed to the teeth, CFR-controlled U.S. Government.

Servando is the uthor of Psychological Warfare and the New World Order and I Dare Call It Treason, and the DVDs Treason in America and Partners in Treason, all of them available at NewsWithViews,

Footnotes:

1. Servando Gonzalez, "Abolish the Second Amendment: We Don't Need it" NewsWithViews.com, December 27, 2014
2. See Jeremy Scahill, The Assassination Complex: Inside the Government's Secret Drone Warfare Program; David Cortwright, ed., Drones and the Future of Armed Conflict: Ethical, Legal and Strategic Implications, and Andrew Cockburn, Kill Chain: Drones and the Rise of High-Tech Assassins.
3. David Cole, "The Terror of Our Guns," The New York Review of Books, July 14, 2016, p. 28.
4. See David Whitney, "On Our Knees for America While Domestic Government Agencies Increase Their Arms," NewsWithViews.com, August 21, 2016,
5. Actually, the NRA has for many years been playing an important role in true gun control by educating its members and their children on safe gun practices by actually having full control on their firearms.
6. Sanford Levinson, "The NRA Didn't Help," The New York Review of Books, August 18, 2016, p. 73. Dr. Levinson is Centennial Chair in Law Professor, Department of Government, University of Texas at Austin Law School.

© 2016 Servando Gonzalez – All Rights Reserved